

OIP
SEP 25 2003
MAIL

O I P E
SEP 25 2003
PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE


RECEIVED
OCT 01 2003
TC 1700

PATENT/Docket No. 09/691,645-049600US

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR 1.8)

Date of Deposit with U.S. Postal Service: September 23, 2003

I hereby certify that this transmittal, together with the appeal brief referred to below, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail under 37 CFR 1.8 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.


Dana Kane

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

Art Unit 1723
Examiner David L. Sorkin
Applicant(s) : Rudolf Maurer *et al.*
Serial Number: 09/691,645
Filed : October 18, 2000
For : STATIC MIXER WITH PRECISION CAST ELEMENTS

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL OF APPEAL BRIEF UNDER 37 CFR 1.192

Sir:

Appellants hereby transmit three (3) copies of the brief required under 37 CFR 1.192 in connection with the appeal in the above-captioned application. The NOTICE OF APPEAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.191 was filed on July 8, 2003, and received by the Patent and Trademark Office on July 11, 2003.

Appellants hereby request that the fee for filing a brief in support of an appeal, \$320.00, or such greater or lesser amount as the Commissioner may deem is required by 37 CFR 1.17(f), be charged to Deposit Account No. 20-1430.

☒ [X] The brief is being filed under 37 CFR 1.8 and the required Certificate of Mailing appears above.

☐ [] Appellants hereby request an oral hearing pursuant to 37 CFR 1.194 and hereby request that the fee for filing a request for oral hearing, \$280.00, or such greater or lesser amount as the Commissioner may deem is required by 37 CFR 1.17(g), be charged to Deposit Account No. 20-1430.

[X] Appellants reserve the right to request an oral hearing pursuant to 37 CFR 1.194 following receipt of the Examiner's Answer.

[X] A Petition to Extend Time is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

Date: September 23, 2003

William Michael Hynes

By *Hynes*
William Michael Hynes
Reg. No. 24,168

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3834
Telephone: (415) 576-0200

Enclosures: Transmittal Form SB/21 (1 copy)
Appellant's Brief (in triplicate)
Pet. to Extend Time SB/22 with fee authorization (in duplicate)
Fee Trans. SB/17 with fee authorization (in duplicate)

60044375v1



I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Attorney Docket No.: 015258-049600US PATENT

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

On

September 23, 2003

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP

By:

Sam Stone

RECEIVED
OCT 01 2003
TC 1700

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

In re application of:

Rudolf Maurer et al.

Application No.: 09/691,645

Filed: October 18, 2000

For: STATIC MIXER WITH
PRECISION CAST ELEMENTS

Examiner: Sorkin, David L.

Art Unit: 1723

APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is Appellants' Appeal Brief pursuant to 37 CFR §1.192.

02/05/2003 09:12:05 00000042 201430 09391645

02 70:1402 320.00 DA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST.....	4
II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES.....	5
III. STATUS OF CLAIMS	6
IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS FILED SUBSEQUENT TO THE FINAL REJECTION	7
V. SUMMARY OF INVENTION.....	8
VI. ISSUES	11
VII. GROUPING OF CLAIMS	12
VIII. ARGUMENT	13
CLAIMS APPENDIX.....	24
SUPPLEMENTAL CLAIMS APPENDIX CONTAINING MATERIAL REJECTED AS NEW MATTER	27

TABLE OF CASES

	<u>Page</u>
Cases	
<i>Abtox, Inc. v. Exitron Corp.</i> , 122 F.3d 1019, 43 USPQ2d 1545 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	14
<i>Bell Communications Research, Inc. v. Vitalink Communications Corp.</i> , 55 F.3d 615, 34 USPQ2d 1816 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	14
<i>E.I. Du Pont De Nemours & Co. v. Phillips Petroleum</i> , 849 F.2d 1430, 7 USPQ2d 1129 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	15
<i>Envirotech Corp. v. Al George, Inc.</i> , 730 F.2d 753, 221 USPQ 473 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	15
<i>Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bosch & Lomb Inc.</i> , 15 USPQ2d 1525 (Federal Circuit; 1990)	22
<i>Johnson Worldwide Associates, Inc. v. Zebco Corp.</i> , 175 F.3d 985, 50 USPQ2d 1607 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	14
<i>Nike Inc. v. Wolverine World Wide, Inc.</i> , 43 F.3d 644, 33 USPQ2d 1038 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	15
<i>Renishaw v. Marposs Societa per Azioni</i> , 158 F.3d at 1243, 48 USPQ2d 1117 at 1120 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	14
<i>Specialty Composites v. Cabot Corp.</i> , 845 F.2d 981, 6 USPQ2d 1601 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	14
<i>Virginia Panel Corp. v. MAC Panel Co.</i> , 133 F.3d 860, 45 USPQ2d 1225 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	14
<i>York Prods., Inc. v. Central Tractor Farm & Family Ctr</i> , 99 F.3d 1568, 40 USPQ2d 1619 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	14
Statutes	
15 USPQ2d 1526	22
35 USC §102(B)	18, 19
35 USC §102(E).....	18
35 USC §112.....	13
35 USC 103(a)	20, 21
Other Authorities	
MPEP Section 608.04(c).....	6

Rudolf Maurer et al.

Application No.: 09/691,645; Art Unit: 1743; Examiner: Sorkin, David L.
APPEAL BRIEF --- Page 4

PATENT

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

Sulzer Chemtech AG is the real party in interest.

Rudolf Maurer et al.

PATENT

Application No.: 09/691,645; Art Unit: 1743; Examiner: Sorkin, David L.
APPEAL BRIEF --- Page 5

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals and interferences.